1 2	BEFORE THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION SECRETARIAT		
3	In the Matter of )	CASE CLASSIBE UNDER THE	
5	MUR 5617 )	CASE CLOSURE UNDER THE	
6	RUSK COUNTY DEMOCRATIC PARTY )	ENFORCEMENT PRIORITY SYSTEM	
7	)		
8	)		
9			
10	GENERAL COUNSEL'S REPORT		
11	Under the Enforcement Priority System, matters that are low-rated		
12	and are deemed inappropriate for review by the Alternative Dispute Resolution		
13	Office are forwarded to the Commission with a recommendation for dismissal. The		
14	Commission has determined that pursuing low-rated matters compared to other higher rated		
15	matters on the Enforcement docket warrants the exercise of its prosecutorial discretion to		

The Office of General Counsel scored MUR 5617 as a low-rated matter. In this case the respondents deny they were responsible for the placement of the signs at issue in the complaint. In light of the de minims nature of the allegations and reviewing the merits of MUR 5617 in furtherance of the Commission's priorities and resources relative to other matters pending on the Enforcement docket, the Office of General Counsel believes that the Commission should exercise its prosecutorial discretion and dismiss the matter. See Heckler v. Chaney, 470 U.S. 821 (1985).

18

19

20

21

22

23

dismiss these cases.

## **RECOMMENDATION**

1

M 47

31 32

	2	The Office of General Counsel rec	The Office of General Counsel recommends that the Commission dismiss MUR		
25044124525	3	5617, close the file effective two weeks fr	rom the date of the Commission vote, and approve		
	4	the appropriate letters. Closing the case a	as of this date will allow CELA and General Law		
	5	and Advice the necessary time to prepare	the closing letters and the case file for the public		
	6	record.			
	7				
	8 9 10 11		James A. Kahl Deputy General Counsel		
	12 13 14 15 16 17	<u>10/28/05</u> Date BY:	Gregory R. Baker Special Counsel Complaints Examination & Legal Administration		
	19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27		Jeff S. Jordan Supervisory Attorney Complaints Examination & Legal Administration		
	29 30	Attachment: Narrative in MUR 5617			

34

35 36

1 2 3 ' 4 5 **MUR 5617** 6 7 **Complainant:** Rusk County Republican Party & Dan Hanson, Chairman 8 9 10 Rusk County Democratic Party & **Respondents:** Kerry-Edwards 2004 Inc. 11 12 13 Allegations: The complainants allege that the Rusk County Democratic Party, and possibly its members, displayed "Kerry - Edwards" signs without affixing the 14 appropriate disclaimers. The two signs at issue in the body of the complaint appear to be 15 hand painted and are located on the side of a highway. Additionally, the complainants 16 17 attach a letter that refers to signs located at The Center for Sustainable Arts ("CSA"). 18 One sign at CSA appears to be identical to the Kerry-Edwards signs found on the side of 19 the highway. A second sign at CSA is critical of George Bush with the slogan 20 "Billionaires for Bush," while a third sign appears to be a professionally printed Kerry-21 Edwards sign located at the entrance of the facility. 22 23 Office of General Counsel Notation: The complanants attached a letter to their 24 complaint that they sent to the Director of the CSA concerning the potential Federal 25 Election Campaign Act violations arising from the signs found on CSA premises. Specifically, the complainants note that in addition to potential tax issues raised by the 26 27 signs there are also disclaimer violations. In light of the fact that the allegations in the 28 complaint were directed at the Rusk County Democratic Party this Office did not send 29 notification letters to the various landowners whose properties were used to display the 30 signs. 31 32 Responses: The respondents deny any involvement in the placement of the signs at issue. 33

Date complaint filed: November 22, 2004

Responses filed: December 17, 2004 and January 18, 2005